

IDENTITIES AND CONGRUENCES FOR A NEW SEQUENCE

Zhi-Hong Sun

School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaiyin Normal University
Huaian, Jiangsu 223001, People's Republic of China
zhihongsun@yahoo.com

Received 1 October 2010

Accepted 20 June 2011

Published 27 January 2012

(Int. J. Number Theory 8(2012), 207-225)

Abstract

Let $[x]$ be the greatest integer not exceeding x . In the paper we introduce the sequence $\{U_n\}$ given by $U_0 = 1$ and $U_n = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \binom{n}{2k} U_{n-2k}$ ($n \geq 1$), and establish many recursive formulas and congruences involving $\{U_n\}$.

Keywords: Congruence; Euler polynomial; identity; p-regular function

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 11A07, 11B68

1. Introduction

The Euler numbers $\{E_n\}$ are defined by

$$E_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E_n = - \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \binom{n}{2k} E_{n-2k} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer not exceeding x . There are many well-known identities and congruences involving Euler numbers. In the paper we introduce the sequence $\{U_n\}$ similar to Euler numbers as below:

$$(1.1) \quad U_0 = 1, \quad U_n = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \binom{n}{2k} U_{n-2k} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Website: <http://www.hytc.edu.cn/xsjl/szh>

The author is supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (No. 10971078).

Since $U_1 = 0$, by induction we have $U_{2n-1} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. In Section 2 we establish many recursive relations for $\{U_n\}$. In Section 3, we deduce some congruences involving $\{U_n\}$. As examples, for a prime $p > 3$ and $k \in \{2, 4, \dots, p-3\}$ we have

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\lfloor p/6 \rfloor} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv \frac{6^k(2^k+1)}{4(2^{k-1}+1)} \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) U_{p-1-k} \pmod{p},$$

where $\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)$ is the Legendre-Jacobi-Kronecker symbol; for a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have

$$U_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (1 + 2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}})h(-3p) \pmod{p},$$

where $h(d)$ is the class number of the form class group consisting of classes of primitive, integral binary quadratic forms of discriminant d .

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathbb{Z}_m be the set of rational numbers whose denominator is coprime to m . For a prime p , in [6] the author introduced the notion of p -regular functions. If $f(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k f(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then f is called a p -regular function. If f and g are p -regular functions, from [6, Theorem 2.3] we know that $f \cdot g$ is also a p -regular function. Thus all p -regular functions form a ring.

Let p be an odd prime, and let $b \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$. In Section 4 we show that $f(k) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)p^{k(p-1)+b}\right)U_{k(p-1)+b}$ is a p -regular function. Using the properties of p -regular functions in [6,8], we deduce many congruences for $\{U_{2n}\} \pmod{p^m}$. For example, if $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's totient function, for $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$U_{k\varphi(p^m)+b} \equiv \left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)p^b\right)U_b \pmod{p^m}.$$

In Section 4 we also show that $U_{2n} \equiv -16n - 42 \pmod{128}$ for $n \geq 3$.

In Section 5 we show that there is a set X and a map $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that $(-1)^n U_{2n}$ is the number of fixed points of T^n .

In addition to the above notation, we also use throughout this paper the following notation: \mathbb{Z} —the set of integers, $\{x\}$ —the fractional part of x , $\text{ord}_p n$ —the nonnegative integer α such that $p^\alpha \mid n$ but $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n$ (that is $p^\alpha \parallel n$), $\mu(n)$ —the Möbius function.

2. Some identities involving $\{U_n\}$

Let $\{U_n\}$ be defined by (1.1). Then clearly $U_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The first few values of U_{2n} are shown below:

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &= -2, U_4 = 22, U_6 = -602, U_8 = 30742, U_{10} = -2523002, \\ U_{12} &= 303692662, U_{14} = -50402079002, U_{16} = 11030684333782. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{e^t + e^{-t} - 1} \quad (|t| < \frac{\pi}{3})$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n U_{2n} \frac{t^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \frac{1}{2 \cos t - 1} \quad (|t| < \frac{\pi}{3}).$$

Proof. By (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (e^t + e^{-t} - 1) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) &= \left(1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{(2k)!} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} U_m \frac{t^m}{m!} \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(U_n + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} U_{n-2k} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{2n} \frac{t^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{e^t + e^{-t} - 1}.$$

Replacing t with it and noting that $e^{it} + e^{-it} = 2 \cos t$ we deduce the remaining result. \square

The Bernoulli numbers $\{B_n\}$ and Bernoulli polynomials $\{B_n(x)\}$ are defined by

$$B_0 = 1, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} B_k = 0 \quad (n \geq 2) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

The Euler polynomials $\{E_n(x)\}$ are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{2e^{xt}}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t| < \pi),$$

which is equivalent to (see [3])

$$(2.2) \quad E_n(x) + \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} E_r(x) = 2x^n \quad (n \geq 0).$$

It is well known that (see [3])

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad E_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (2x-1)^{n-r} E_r \\ &= \frac{2}{n+1} \left(B_{n+1}(x) - 2^{n+1} B_{n+1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{2^{n+1}}{n+1} \left(B_{n+1}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - B_{n+1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2.4) \quad E_n = 2^n E_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad E_n(0) = \frac{2(1-2^{n+1})B_{n+1}}{n+1}.$$

It is also known that (see [3])

$$(2.5) \quad B_{2n+3} = 0, \quad B_n(1-x) = (-1)^n B_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad E_n(1-x) = (-1)^n E_n(x).$$

Lemma 2.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$E_n\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{n+1}((-2)^{n+1} - 1)B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{2^{n+1}((-2)^{n+1} - 1)}{(n+1)((-2)^n + 1)}B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right).$$

Proof. By (2.3) we have $E_n\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{n+1}(B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - 2^{n+1}B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right))$. From Raabe's theorem (see [8,(2.9)]) we have $B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) + B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{-n}B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$. As $B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = B_{n+1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = (-1)^{n+1}B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$, we see that

$$B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) = (2^{-n} - (-1)^{n+1})B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= \frac{2}{n+1}\left(B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) - 2^{n+1}B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{n+1}\left(1 - 2^{n+1}(2^{-n} - (-1)^{n+1})\right)B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{n+1} \cdot \frac{(-2)^{n+1} - 1}{2^{-n} + (-1)^n} B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So the lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 2.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$U_{2n} = 3^{2n}E_{2n}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = -2(2^{2n+1} + 1)3^{2n}\frac{B_{2n+1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{2n+1} = -\frac{2(2^{2n+1} + 1)6^{2n}}{2^{2n} + 1} \cdot \frac{B_{2n+1}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)}{2n+1}.$$

Proof. Using (2.1) and Lemma 2.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \frac{(3t)^{2n}}{(2n)!} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \frac{(3t)^n}{n!} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \frac{(-3t)^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{2e^t}{e^{3t} + 1} + \frac{2e^{-t}}{e^{-3t} + 1} = \frac{2e^t + 2e^{2t}}{e^{3t} + 1} = \frac{2e^t}{e^{2t} - e^t + 1} \\ &= \frac{2}{e^t + e^{-t} - 1} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n \frac{t^n}{n!} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{2n} \frac{t^{2n}}{(2n)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $U_{2n} = 3^{2n}E_{2n}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$. Now applying Lemma 2.2 we deduce the remaining result. \square

Theorem 2.2. For two sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ we have the following inversion formula:

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} a_{n-2k} - a_n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \\ \iff a_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} U_{2k} b_{n-2k} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (e^t + e^{-t} - 1) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) &= \left(-1 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{(2k)!} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \frac{t^m}{m!} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(2 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} a_{n-2k} - a_n \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.1 and the fact $U_{2n-1} = 0$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} a_{n-2k} - a_n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \\ \iff (e^t + e^{-t} - 1) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ \iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_{2k} \frac{t^{2k}}{(2k)!} \right) \\ \iff a_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} U_{2k} b_{n-2k} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let n be a nonnegative integer. For any complex number x we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} U_{2k} ((x-1)^{n-2k} - x^{n-2k} + (x+1)^{n-2k}) = x^n, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} U_{2k} (x^{n-2k} + (x+3)^{n-2k}) = (x+1)^n + (x+2)^n, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} U_{2k} ((x+3)^{n-2k} - (x-3)^{n-2k}) \\ &= (x+2)^n + (x+1)^n - (x-1)^n - (x-2)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the binomial theorem we see that

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} x^{n-2k} - x^n = (x-1)^n + (x+1)^n - x^n.$$

Thus, applying Theorem 2.2 we deduce (i). Since

$$x^m - (x+1)^m + (x+2)^m + (x+1)^m - (x+2)^m + (x+3)^m = x^m + (x+3)^m,$$

from (i) we deduce (ii). As $x^m + (x+3)^m - ((x-3)^m + x^m) = (x+3)^m - (x-3)^m$, from (ii) we deduce (iii). So the theorem is proved. □

Theorem 2.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

- (i)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} (2^{n-2k} - 1) U_{2k} = 1 - U_n,$$
- (ii)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} 6^{n-2k} U_{2k} = 5^n + 4^n - 2^n - 1,$$
- (iii)
$$U_{2n} = 1 + 2^{2n} - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} 3^{2n-2k} U_{2k},$$
- (iv)
$$U_{2n} = 2(-1)^n - 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{2n}{4k} ((-4)^k - 1) U_{2n-4k},$$
- (v)
$$U_{2n} = 4^{n-1} + \frac{1 + V_{2n}}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} \binom{2n}{6k} 3^{6k} U_{2n-6k},$$

where V_m is given by $V_0 = 2$, $V_1 = 1$ and $V_{m+1} = V_m - 7V_{m-1}$ ($m \geq 1$).

Proof. Taking $x = 1$ in Theorem 2.3(i) and noting that $U_n = 0$ for odd n we obtain (i). Taking $x = 3$ in Theorem 2.3(iii) we deduce (ii). Taking $x = 0$ in Theorem 2.3(ii) and then replacing n with $2n$ we derive (iii). Set $i = \sqrt{-1}$. By Theorem 2.3(i) we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} ((i-1)^{2n-2k} - i^{2n-2k} + (i+1)^{2n-2k}) = i^{2n}.$$

That is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} ((-2i)^{n-k} - (-1)^{n-k} + (2i)^{n-k}) = (-1)^n.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2|n-k}}^n \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} (2^{n+1-k} (-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} - 1) + \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2|n-k}}^n \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} = (-1)^n.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2|n-k}}^n \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} (2^{n+1-k} (-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} - 2) = (-1)^n - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} = (-1)^n - \frac{1}{2} U_{2n}$$

and so

$$2 \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ 2|r}}^n \binom{2n}{2r} U_{2n-2r} ((-1)^{\frac{r}{2}} 2^r - 1) = (-1)^n - \frac{1}{2} U_{2n}.$$

This yields (iv).

Set $\omega = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$. From Theorem 2.3(ii) we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} ((3\omega)^{2n-2k} + (3\omega+3)^{2n-2k}) = (3\omega+1)^{2n} + (3\omega+2)^{2n}.$$

It is easily seen that $V_m = \left(\frac{1+3\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right)^m + \left(\frac{1-3\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right)^m = (2+3\omega)^m + (-1-3\omega)^m$ and

$$\omega^{2n-2k} + (\omega+1)^{2n-2k} = \omega^{2n-2k} + (\omega^2)^{2n-2k} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 3 \mid n-k, \\ \omega + \omega^2 = -1 & \text{if } 3 \nmid n-k. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & 3 \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3 \mid n-k}}^n \binom{2n}{2k} 3^{2n-2k} U_{2k} - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} 3^{2n-2k} U_{2k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} \left((3\omega)^{2n-2k} + (3\omega+3)^{2n-2k} \right) \\ &= (3\omega+1)^{2n} + (3\omega+2)^{2n} = V_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, applying (iii) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & 3 \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3 \mid k}}^n \binom{2n}{2k} 3^{2k} U_{2n-2k} \\ &= 3 \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3 \mid n-k}}^n \binom{2n}{2k} 3^{2n-2k} U_{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} 3^{2n-2k} U_{2k} + V_{2n} \\ &= 1 + 2^{2n} - U_{2n} + V_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields (v). The proof is now complete. \square

Lemma 2.3 ([3, p.30]). *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq x \leq 1$ we have*

$$E_n(x) = 4 \cdot \frac{n!}{\pi^{n+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2m+1)\pi x - \frac{n\pi}{2})}{(2m+1)^{n+1}}.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(6k+1)^{2n+1}} - \frac{1}{(6k+5)^{2n+1}} \right) = (-1)^n \frac{U_{2n} \cdot \pi^{2n+1}}{2\sqrt{3} \cdot 3^{2n} \cdot (2n)!}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^n \frac{U_{2n} \cdot \pi^{2n+1}}{4 \cdot 3^{2n} \cdot (2n)!} \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{E_{2n}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \pi^{2n+1}}{4 \cdot (2n)!} = (-1)^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m+1}{3}\pi - n\pi\right)}{(2m+1)^{2n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{2m+1}{3}\pi}{(2m+1)^{2n+1}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(6k+1)^{2n+1}} - \frac{1}{(6k+5)^{2n+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the result. \square

Corollary 2.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(-1)^n U_{2n} > 0$.*

3. Congruences involving $\{U_{2n}\}$

Theorem 3.1. *Let p be a prime of the form $4k + 1$. Then*

$$U_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (1 + 2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}})h(-3p) \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 we see that

$$U_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = -2\left(2^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + 1\right)3^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{B_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\frac{p+1}{2}} \equiv -4\left(2\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) + 1\right)\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)B_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} -12B_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{24} \\ -4B_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{24}, \\ 4B_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 13 \pmod{24}, \\ 12B_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 17 \pmod{24}. \end{cases}$$

By [8, Theorem 3.2(i)] we have

$$h(-3p) \equiv \begin{cases} -4B_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\ 4B_{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

Now combining the above we deduce the result. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let p be a prime of the form $4k + 1$. Then $p \nmid U_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$.*

Proof. From [10, p.40] we know that $h(-3p) = 2\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor p/3 \rfloor} \left(\frac{p}{a}\right)$. Thus $1 \leq h(-3p) < p$. Now the result follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

For an odd prime p and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid a$ let $q_p(a) = (a^{p-1} - 1)/p$ denote the corresponding Fermat quotient.

Theorem 3.2. *Let p be a prime greater than 5. Then*

$$(i) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor p/6 \rfloor} \frac{1}{k} \equiv -2q_p(2) - \frac{3}{2}q_p(3) + p(q_p(2)^2 + \frac{3}{4}q_p(3)^2) - \frac{5p}{2}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)U_{p-3} \pmod{p^2},$$

$$(ii) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor p/3 \rfloor} \frac{1}{k} \equiv -\frac{3}{2}q_p(3) + \frac{3}{4}pq_p(3)^2 - p\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)U_{p-3} \pmod{p^2},$$

$$(iii) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor 2p/3 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \equiv 9 \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ 3 \nmid k+p}}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k} \equiv 3p\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)U_{p-3} \pmod{p^2}.$$

(iv) *We have*

$$(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{p}{6} \rfloor} \binom{p-1}{\lfloor \frac{p}{6} \rfloor} \equiv 1 + p\left(2q_p(2) + \frac{3}{2}q_p(3)\right) + p^2\left(q_p(2)^2 + 3q_p(2)q_p(3)\right)$$

$$+ \frac{3}{8}q_p(3)^2 - 5\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)U_{p-3} \pmod{p^3}$$

and

$$(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \rfloor} \binom{p-1}{\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \rfloor} \equiv 1 + \frac{3}{2}pq_p(3) + \frac{3}{8}p^2q_p(3)^2 - \frac{p^2}{2}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)U_{p-3} \pmod{p^3}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 and Fermat's little theorem we have

$$U_{p-3} = -\frac{2(2^{p-2}+1) \cdot 6^{p-3}}{2^{p-3}+1} \cdot \frac{B_{p-2}(\frac{1}{6})}{p-2} \equiv \frac{1}{30}B_{p-2}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \pmod{p}.$$

Now applying [9, Theorem 3.9] we deduce the result. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $p > 3$ be a prime and $k \in \{2, 4, \dots, p-3\}$. Then

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{6} \rfloor} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv 6^k \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ 6|x-p}}^{p-1} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv \frac{6^k(2^k+1)}{4(2^{k-1}+1)} \binom{p}{3} U_{p-1-k} \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \rfloor} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv 3^k \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ 3|x-p}}^{p-1} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv \frac{6^k}{4(2^{k-1}+1)} \binom{p}{3} U_{p-1-k} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Let $m \in \{3, 6\}$. As $B_{p-k}(\frac{m-1}{m}) = (-1)^{p-k}B_{p-k}(\frac{1}{m}) = -B_{p-k}(\frac{1}{m})$, we see that $B_{p-k}(\{\frac{p}{m}\}) = \binom{p}{3}B_{p-k}(\frac{1}{m})$. Now putting $s=1$ and substituting k with $p-1-k$ in [8, Corollary 2.2] we see that for $k \in \{2, 4, \dots, p-3\}$,

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{m} \rfloor} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv \sum_{x=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{m} \rfloor} x^{p-1-k} \equiv \frac{B_{p-k}(0) - B_{p-k}(\{\frac{p}{m}\})}{p-k} = -\binom{p}{3} \frac{B_{p-k}(\frac{1}{m})}{p-k} \pmod{p}.$$

By [8, (2.6)] we have

$$\sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ m|x-p}}^{p-1} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ m|x-p}}^{p-1} x^{p-1-k} \equiv (-m)^{p-1-k} \sum_{x=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{m} \rfloor} x^{p-1-k} \equiv \frac{1}{m^k} \sum_{x=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{m} \rfloor} \frac{1}{x^k} \pmod{p}.$$

From Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$\frac{B_{p-k}(\frac{1}{6})}{p-k} = -\frac{1+2^{p-1-k}}{2(2^{p-k}+1)6^{p-1-k}}U_{p-1-k} \equiv -\frac{1+2^{-k}}{2(2^{1-k}+1)6^{-k}}U_{p-1-k} \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\frac{B_{p-k}(\frac{1}{3})}{p-k} = -\frac{U_{p-1-k}}{2 \cdot 3^{p-1-k}(2^{p-k}+1)} \equiv -\frac{U_{p-1-k}}{2 \cdot 3^{-k}(2^{1-k}+1)} \pmod{p}.$$

Now putting all the above together we deduce the result. \square

Corollary 3.2. Let $p > 3$ be a prime and $k \in \{2, 4, \dots, p-3\}$. Then

$$\sum_{x=[p/6]+1}^{[p/3]} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv -\frac{12^k}{4(2^{k-1}+1)} \binom{p}{3} U_{p-1-k} \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\sum_{x=1}^{[p/3]} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv \frac{1}{2^k+1} \sum_{x=1}^{[p/6]} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv -\frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{x=[p/6]+1}^{[p/3]} \frac{1}{x^k} \pmod{p}.$$

Remark 3.1 For a prime $p > 5$ the congruence $\sum_{x=1}^{[p/3]} \frac{1}{x^2} \equiv \frac{1}{5} \sum_{x=1}^{[p/6]} \frac{1}{x^2} \pmod{p}$ was first found by Schwindt. See [5].

Theorem 3.4. Let $p > 3$ be a prime and $k \in \{2, 4, \dots, p-3\}$. Then

$$\sum_{x=1}^{[p/3]} (-1)^{x-1} \frac{1}{x^k} \equiv -\frac{3^k}{2} \binom{p}{3} U_{p-1-k} \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\sum_{x=1}^{[\frac{p+3}{6}]} \frac{1}{(2x-1)^k} \equiv -\frac{3^k}{2^{k+1}+4} \binom{p}{3} U_{p-1-k} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Putting $m = 3$ and $s = 1$ in [8, Corollary 2.2] and then replacing k with $p-1-k$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p-1-k}(0) - (-1)^{[\frac{p}{3}]} E_{p-1-k} \left(\left\{ \frac{p}{3} \right\} \right) \\ \equiv 2(-1)^{p-1-k-1} \sum_{x=1}^{[p/3]} (-1)^x x^{p-1-k} \equiv 2 \sum_{x=1}^{[p/3]} (-1)^{x-1} \frac{1}{x^k} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$E_{p-1-k}(0) = \frac{2(1-2^{p-k})B_{p-k}}{p-k} = 0.$$

From (2.5) and Theorem 2.1 we have

$$E_{p-1-k} \left(\left\{ \frac{p}{3} \right\} \right) = E_{p-1-k} \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) = 3^{k+1-p} U_{p-1-k} \equiv 3^k U_{p-1-k} \pmod{p}.$$

Observe that $(-1)^{[\frac{p}{3}]} = \binom{p}{3}$. From the above we deduce the first part. Since

$$\sum_{x=1}^{[\frac{p}{3}]} (-1)^{x-1} \frac{1}{x^k} = -\sum_{x=1}^{[\frac{p}{6}]} \frac{1}{(2x)^k} + \sum_{x=1}^{[\frac{p+3}{6}]} \frac{1}{(2x-1)^k},$$

applying the first part and Theorem 3.3 we deduce the remaining result. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let p be a prime of the form $4k+1$. Then

$$U_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -2 \left(2 + (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \right) \sum_{x=1}^{[\frac{p+3}{6}]} \left(\frac{p}{2x-1} \right) \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Taking $k = (p-1)/2$ in Theorem 3.4 and applying Euler's criterion we obtain

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p+3}{6} \rfloor} \left(\frac{2x-1}{p} \right) \equiv -\frac{\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)}{4+2\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)} U_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = -\frac{1}{4+2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}} U_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

This yields the result. \square

4. Congruences for $U_{k(p-1)+b} \pmod{p^n}$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 3$, and let α be a nonnegative integer such that $2^\alpha \mid n$. Then $U_{2n} \equiv \frac{2}{3} \pmod{2^{\alpha+4}}$. Moreover,*

$$U_{2n} \equiv \begin{cases} 48n + \frac{2}{3} \pmod{2^{\alpha+7}} & \text{if } 2 \mid n, \\ 48n + 22 \pmod{2^7} & \text{if } 2 \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.4(i) we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} (2^{2n-2k} - 1) U_{2k} = 1 - U_{2n}.$$

Thus, using (1.1) we see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} 2^{2n-2k} U_{2k} = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2k} U_{2k} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} U_{2n}.$$

Hence

$$U_{2n} = 2 - 2 \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{2n}{2r} 2^{2r} U_{2n-2r}$$

and so

$$(4.1) \quad U_{2n} = \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \sum_{r=1}^n \binom{2n}{2r} 4^r U_{2n-2r} \right) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2n}{3} \sum_{r=1}^n \binom{2n-1}{2r-1} \frac{4^r}{r} U_{2n-2r}.$$

From the definition of U_{2n} we know that $2 \mid U_{2m}$ for $m \geq 1$. Thus, for $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $n \geq 2$ we have $\frac{4^r}{r} U_{2n-2r} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and so $2n \cdot \frac{4^r}{r} U_{2n-2r} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha+4}}$. Therefore, by (4.1) we have $U_{2n} \equiv \frac{2}{3} \pmod{2^{\alpha+4}}$ and hence $U_{2n} \equiv 6 \pmod{16}$ for $n \geq 2$.

Since $\frac{4^{n-3}}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $n \geq 3$, we see that $\frac{2n}{3} \cdot \frac{4^n}{n} = \frac{2^7 n}{3} \cdot \frac{4^{n-3}}{n} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha+7}}$. Thus, using (4.1) and the fact $U_{2m} \equiv 6 \pmod{16}$ for $m \geq 2$ we see that for $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2n} - \frac{2}{3} &= -\frac{2n}{3} \left(4 \sum_{r=1}^{n-2} \binom{2n-1}{2r-1} \frac{4^{r-1}}{r} U_{2n-2r} - 2 \cdot 2^{2n-2} (2n-1) + \frac{4^n}{n} \right) \\ &\equiv -\frac{2n}{3} \cdot 4 \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n-1}{2r-1} \frac{4^{r-1}}{r} \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -16n \left(2n-1 + 2 \binom{2n-1}{3} + \sum_{r=3}^{n-1} \binom{2n-1}{2r-1} \frac{4^{r-1}}{r} \right) \\
&\equiv -16n \left(2n-1 + 2 \binom{2n-1}{3} \right) \pmod{2^{\alpha+7}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
2n-1 + 2 \binom{2n-1}{3} &\equiv 9 \left(2n-1 + 2 \binom{2n-1}{3} \right) \\
&= 3(2n-1)(2n+(2n-3)^2) \equiv 3(2n-1)(2n+1) \\
&= 3(2n-1)^2 + 6(2n-1) \equiv 4n-3 \pmod{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$U_{2n} - \frac{2}{3} \equiv -16n(4n-3) \equiv 48n + 32(1 - (-1)^n) \pmod{2^{\alpha+7}}.$$

This yields the result. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$U_{2n} \equiv 6 \pmod{16} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{2n} \equiv -16n - 42 \pmod{128}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let p be an odd prime and $b \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$. Then $f(k) = (1 - (\frac{p}{3})p^{k(p-1)+b})U_{k(p-1)+b}$ is a p -regular function.*

Proof. Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Theorem 2.1 and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{2k+b}U_{2k+b} &= 2^{2k+b} \cdot 3^{2k+b} E_{2k+b} \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) = 3^{2k+b} \sum_{r=0}^{2k+b} \binom{2k+b}{r} \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right)^{2k+b-r} E_r \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{2k+b} \binom{2k+b}{r} (-3)^r E_r \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \binom{2k+b}{r} (-3)^r E_r \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (2k+b)(2k+b-1) \cdots (2k+b-r+1) \frac{(-3)^r}{r!} E_r \pmod{3^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $3^r/r! \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, there are $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ such that

$$2^{2k+b}U_{2k+b} \equiv a_{n-1}k^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1k + a_0 \pmod{3^n} \quad \text{for every } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, using [6, Theorem 2.1] we see that $2^{2k+b}U_{2k+b}$ is a 3-regular function. As

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k 2^{-2k-b} = 2^{-b} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \right)^n \equiv 0 \pmod{3^n},$$

we see that 2^{-2k-b} is also a 3-regular function. Hence, using the above and the product theorem of p -regular functions (see [6, Theorem 2.3]) we deduce that $f(k) = U_{2k+b}$ is a 3-regular function. Therefore, the result is true for $p = 3$.

Now let us consider the case $p > 3$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ let $\langle x \rangle_p$ be the least nonnegative residue of x modulo p . Since $2 \mid b$ we have $p-1 \nmid b+1$. From [6, Theorem 3.2] we know that

$$f_1(k) = \frac{B_{k(p-1)+b+1}(\frac{1}{3}) - p^{k(p-1)+b} B_{k(p-1)+b+1}(\frac{\frac{1}{3} + \langle -\frac{1}{3} \rangle_p}{p})}{k(p-1) + b + 1}$$

is a p -regular function. As

$$\frac{\frac{1}{3} + \langle -\frac{1}{3} \rangle_p}{p} = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{p-1}{3}}{p} = \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p-1}{3}}{p} = \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

and $B_{k(p-1)+b+1}(\frac{2}{3}) = (-1)^{k(p-1)+b+1} B_{k(p-1)+b+1}(\frac{1}{3}) = -B_{k(p-1)+b+1}(\frac{1}{3})$, we see that

$$f_1(k) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) p^{k(p-1)+b}\right) \frac{B_{k(p-1)+b+1}(\frac{1}{3})}{k(p-1) + b + 1}.$$

By Theorem 2.1 and the above we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(k) &= \left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) p^{k(p-1)+b}\right) \cdot (-2)(2^{k(p-1)+b+1} + 1) 3^{k(p-1)+b} \frac{B_{k(p-1)+b+1}(\frac{1}{3})}{k(p-1) + b + 1} \\ &= -2(2^{k(p-1)+b+1} + 1) 3^{k(p-1)+b} f_1(k). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k (2^{k(p-1)+b+1} + 1) 3^{k(p-1)+b} = 2 \cdot 6^b (1 - 6^{p-1})^n + 3^b (1 - 3^{p-1})^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n},$$

using the above and the product theorem of p -regular functions (see [6, Theorem 2.3]) we deduce that $f(k)$ is a p -regular function, which completes the proof. \square

From Theorem 4.2 and [8, Theorem 4.3 (with $t = 1$ and $d = 0$)] we deduce the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let p be an odd prime, $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) p^{k\varphi(p^m)+b}\right) U_{k\varphi(p^m)+b} \\ &\equiv \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-r} \binom{k-1-r}{n-1-r} \binom{k}{r} \left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) p^{r\varphi(p^m)+b}\right) U_{r\varphi(p^m)+b} \pmod{p^{mn}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $n = 1$ we have $U_{k\varphi(p^m)+b} \equiv \left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) p^b\right) U_b \pmod{p^m}$.

From Theorem 4.2 and [6, Theorem 2.1] we deduce the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let p be an odd prime, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq n$ and $b \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$. Then there are unique integers $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm \frac{p^n-1}{2}\}$ such that*

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) p^{k(p-1)+b}\right) U_{k(p-1)+b} \equiv a_{n-1} k^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 k + a_0 \pmod{p^n}$$

for every $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, $\text{ord}_p a_s \geq s - \text{ord}_p s!$ for $s = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

- (i) $U_{2k} \equiv -3k + 1 \pmod{27}$;
- (ii) $U_{4k} \equiv 1250k^4 + 500k^3 + 725k^2 - 1205k + 2 \pmod{3125}$ ($k \geq 2$);
- (iii) $U_{4k+2} \equiv 1250k^4 - 1125k^3 - 675k^2 - 52 \pmod{3125}$.

From Theorem 4.2 and [8, Corollary 4.2(iv)] we deduce:

Theorem 4.5. *Let p be an odd prime, $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$. Then*

$$U_{k\phi(p^m)+b} \equiv (1 - kp^{m-1}) \left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)p^b\right) U_b + kp^{m-1} \left(1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)p^{p^{-1}+b}\right) U_{p^{-1}+b} \pmod{p^{m+1}}.$$

5. $\{(-1)^n U_{2n}\}$ is realizable

If $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are two sequences satisfying $a_1 = b_1$ and $b_n + a_1 b_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} b_1 = na_n$ ($n > 1$), following [7] we say that (a_n, b_n) is a Newton-Euler pair. If (a_n, b_n) is a Newton-Euler pair and $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then we say that $\{b_n\}$ is a Newton-Euler sequence.

Let $\{b_n\}$ be a Newton-Euler sequence. Then clearly $b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. In [2], $\{-b_n\}$ is called a Newton sequence generated by $\{-a_n\}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of integers. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\{b_n\}$ is a Newton-Euler sequence.
- (ii) $\sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) b_d \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iii) For any prime p and $\alpha, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \nmid m$ we have $b_{mp^\alpha} \equiv b_{mp^{\alpha-1}} \pmod{p^\alpha}$.
- (iv) For any $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and prime p with $p^t \parallel n$ we have $b_n \equiv b_{\frac{n}{p}} \pmod{p^t}$.
- (v) There exists a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of integers such that $b_n = \sum_{d|n} dc_d$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (vi) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{k_1+2k_2+\dots+nk_n=n} \frac{b_1^{k_1} b_2^{k_2} \dots b_n^{k_n}}{1^{k_1} \cdot k_1! \cdot 2^{k_2} \cdot k_2! \cdot \dots \cdot n^{k_n} \cdot k_n!} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(vii) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{n!} \begin{vmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \dots & b_n \\ -1 & b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_{n-1} \\ & -2 & b_1 & \dots & b_{n-2} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & -(n-1) & b_1 \end{vmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. From [1, Theorem 3] or [2] we know that (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Clearly (iii) is equivalent (iv). Using Möbius inversion formula we see that (ii) and (v) are equivalent. By [7, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3], (i),(vi) and (vii) are equivalent. So the lemma is proved. \square

Let $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers. If there is a set X and a map $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that b_n is the number of fixed points of T^n , following [1,4] we say that $\{b_n\}$ is realizable.

In [4], Puri and Ward proved that a sequence $\{b_n\}$ of nonnegative integers is realizable if and only if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) b_d$ is a nonnegative integer. Thus, using Möbius inversion formula we see that a sequence $\{b_n\}$ is realizable if and only if there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of nonnegative integers such that $b_n = \sum_{d|n} d c_d$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [1] J. Arias de Reyna showed that $\{E_{2n}\}$ is a Newton-Euler sequence and $\{|E_{2n}|\}$ is realizable.

Now we state the following result.

Theorem 5.1. $\{U_{2n}\}$ is a Newton-Euler sequence and $\{(-1)^n U_{2n}\}$ is realizable.

Proof. Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha = \text{ord}_2 n$. If $2 | n$, by Theorem 4.1 we have $U_{2n} \equiv \frac{2}{3} \pmod{2^{\alpha+4}}$ and $U_n \equiv \frac{2}{3} \pmod{2^{\alpha+3}}$ for $n \geq 6$. Thus $U_{2n} \equiv \frac{2}{3} \equiv U_n \pmod{2^\alpha}$ for $n \geq 6$. For $n = 2, 4$ we also have $U_{2n} \equiv U_n \pmod{2^\alpha}$. If $2 \nmid n$, by (1.1) we have $U_{2n} \equiv 0 = U_n \pmod{2^0}$.

Now assume that p is an odd prime divisor of n and $n = p^t n_0$ with $p \nmid n_0$. Using Theorem 4.3 and the fact $2n_0 p^{t-1} \geq t$ we see that

$$U_{2n} = U_{2n_0 p^t} = U_{2n_0 \varphi(p^t) + 2n_0 p^{t-1}} \equiv U_{2n_0 p^{t-1}} \pmod{p^t}.$$

By the above, for any prime divisor p of n we have $U_{2n} \equiv U_{2n/p} \pmod{p^t}$, where $p^t \parallel n$. Hence, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that $\{U_{2n}\}$ is a Newton-Euler sequence.

By Corollary 2.1 we have $(-1)^n U_{2n} > 0$. Suppose that p is a prime divisor of n and $p^t \parallel n$. If p is odd, then $(-1)^n = (-1)^{\frac{n}{p}}$. If $p = 2$ and $4 | n$, we have $(-1)^n = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}$. If $p = 2$ and $2 \parallel n$, then $(-1)^n \equiv (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \pmod{2}$. Thus, we always have $(-1)^n \equiv (-1)^{\frac{n}{p}} \pmod{p^t}$. By the previous argument, we also have $U_{2n} \equiv U_{2n/p} \pmod{p^t}$. Therefore, $(-1)^n U_{2n} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{n}{p}} U_{2n/p} \pmod{p^t}$. Hence, by Lemma 5.1 we have $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (-1)^d U_{2d} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now it remains to show that $\sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (-1)^d U_{2d} \geq 0$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by Theorem 2.5 we have

$$(-1)^m U_{2m} = \frac{2\sqrt{3} \cdot 3^{2m} \cdot (2m)!}{\pi^{2m+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(6k+1)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(6k+5)^{2m+1}} \right).$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(6k+1)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(6k+5)^{2m+1}} \right) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(6k+5)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(6k+7)^{2m+1}} \right) < 1$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(6k+1)^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{(6k+5)^{2m+1}} \right) > 1 - \frac{1}{5^{2m+1}} > 1 - \frac{1}{5} = \frac{4}{5},$$

we see that

$$\frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{3} \cdot 3^{2m} \cdot (2m)!}{\pi^{2m+1}} < (-1)^m U_{2m} < \frac{2\sqrt{3} \cdot 3^{2m} \cdot (2m)!}{\pi^{2m+1}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (-1)^d U_{2d} &= (-1)^n U_{2n} + \sum_{d|n, d \leq \frac{n}{2}} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (-1)^d U_{2d} \\ &\geq (-1)^n U_{2n} - \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \frac{n}{2}} (-1)^d U_{2d} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{3} \cdot 3^{2n} \cdot (2n)!}{\pi^{2n+1}} - \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \frac{n}{2}} \frac{2\sqrt{3} \cdot 3^{2d} \cdot (2d)!}{\pi^{2d+1}} \\
&> \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{3} \cdot 3^{2n} \cdot (2n)!}{\pi^{2n+1}} - \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\sqrt{3} \cdot 3^{2d} \cdot n!}{\pi^{2d+1}} \\
&= \frac{8\sqrt{3}}{5\pi} \cdot n! \left\{ \left(\frac{9}{\pi^2} \right)^n (n+1)(n+2) \cdots (2n) - \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{9/\pi^2}{1-9/\pi^2} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{9}{\pi^2} \right)^{m+1} (m+2)(m+3) \cdots (2m+2) &= \frac{9}{\pi^2} (4m+2) \cdot \left(\frac{9}{\pi^2} \right)^m (m+1)(m+2) \cdots (2m) \\
&> \left(\frac{9}{\pi^2} \right)^m (m+1)(m+2) \cdots (2m).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $n \geq 3$ we have

$$\left(\frac{9}{\pi^2} \right)^n (n+1)(n+2) \cdots (2n) \geq \left(\frac{9}{\pi^2} \right)^3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 > \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{9/\pi^2}{1-9/\pi^2}$$

and so $\sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (-1)^d U_{2d} > 0$. This inequality is also true for $n = 1, 2$. Thus, $\{(-1)^n U_{2n}\}$ is realizable. This completes the proof. \square

Let $\{a_n\}$ be defined by

$$a_1 = -2 \quad \text{and} \quad na_n = U_{2n} + a_1 U_{2n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-1} U_2 \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

By Theorem 5.1 we have $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The first few values of a_n are shown below:

$$a_2 = 13, \quad a_3 = -224, \quad a_4 = 8170, \quad a_5 = -522716, \quad a_6 = 51749722, \quad a_7 = -7309866728.$$

References

- [1] J. Arias de Reyna, *Dynamical zeta functions and Kummer congruences*, Acta Arith. **119** (2005), 39-52.
- [2] B.S. Du, S.S. Huang and M.C. Li, *Generalized Fermat, double Fermat and Newton sequences*, J. Number Theory **98** (2003), 172-183.
- [3] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and R.P. Soni, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics (3rd edition)*, Springer, New York, 1966, 25-32.
- [4] Y. Puri and T. Ward, *Arithmetic and growth of periodic orbits*, J. Integer Seq. **4**(2001) Art. 01.2.1, 18 pp.
- [5] P. Ribenboim, *Thirteen Lectures on Fermat's Last Theorem*, Springer, New York, 1979, 139-159.
- [6] Z.H. Sun, *Congruences concerning Bernoulli numbers and Bernoulli polynomials*, Discrete Appl. Math. **105**(2000), 193-223.

- [7] Z.H. Sun, *On the properties of Newton-Euler pairs*, J. Number Theory **114**(2005), 88-123.
- [8] Z.H. Sun, *Congruences involving Bernoulli polynomials*, Discrete Math. **308**(2008), 71-112.
- [9] Z.H. Sun, *Congruences involving Bernoulli and Euler numbers*, J. Number Theory **128**(2008), 280-312.
- [10] J. Urbanowicz and K.S. Williams, *Congruences for L-Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2000.